

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,625	WOSIK ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Tiffany A. Fetzner	2831	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amended

(1) Tiffany A. Fetzner. (3) _____.

(2) Attorney Robert W. Strozier Reg. No. 34,024. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 July 2010

Time: 9:30pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-80

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted applicant's representative to request permission to do in examiner's amendment, in order to correct remaining formal matters, antecedent basis and intended use concerns, as well as a corrected title, to the instant application. The examiner was given permission to do the examiner's amendment, in order to move the pending claims forward towards allowance and to cancel all previously withdrawn claims, in order to ensure that the instant application, is in appropriate condition for allowance by the examiner. The examiner was thanked for her time and assistance in resolving the remaining issues of the instant application.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/T. A. F./
 Examiner, Art Unit 2831
 571-272-2241

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)